

**OSHA • LIANG LLP**

www.oshaliang.com

Houston - Silicon Valley - Paris

One Houston Center • Suite 2800  
1221 McKinney Street  
Houston, Texas 77010  
Tel: (713) 228-8600  
Fax: (713) 228-8778

---

**FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET**

---

DATE: September 19, 2008

FILE NUMBER: 10/713,649; Conf # 6964  
(Our File: 03226343001)

TO: Examiner Yigdall

FAX NUMBER: 571-273-3707

FROM: Scott Bell (ach)

PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 4

RE: Applicant Initiated Interview Request

---

☐ URGENT    ☐ FOR REVIEW    ☐ PLEASE COMMENT    ☐ PLEASE REPLY    ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE

---

NOTES/COMMENTS:

---

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**

This document (including any attachments) may contain privileged or confidential information. In the event that this document has been sent to you in error, or otherwise has been misdirected, please call the sender COLLECT at 713.228.8600 to arrange for its prompt return or destruction. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

PTOL-413A (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 10/713,649First Named Inventor: Michael W. ShapiroExaminer: Mike YigdallArt Unit: 2192Status of Application: Pending**Tentative Participants:**(1) Examiner Mike Yigdall(2) Aly Dossa(3) Scott G. Bell(4) Robert Lord (Reg. No. 46,479)

(5) \_\_\_\_\_

(6) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Date of Interview: September 22, 2008Proposed Time: 10:00 (AM) EDT**Type of Interview Requested:**(1) ☒ Telephonic(2) ☐ Personal(3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Issues To Be Discussed**

Issues (Ref., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>102</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Edwards</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

See attached agenda

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

**NOTE:**

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/s/ Robert P. Lord

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Robert Lord

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

46,479

Registration Number, if applicable

414678\_1

PTOL-413A (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Examiner Interview Agenda

Application Serial No. 10/713,649

Participants: Examiner Mike Yigdall  
Aly Dossa  
Robert Lord (Reg. No. 46,479)  
Scott G. Bell

During the Examiner Interview, Applicant's Representative would like to discuss the following:

- Limitations of proposed amended claim 1 (reproduced below). In particular, we would like to discuss the terms "instrumentation request" in the limitations shown below.
- The Examiner's understanding of the Edwards reference, including how the cited portions teach an implementation request. Specifically, independent claim 1 recites, in part, that "the instrumentation request is one of a group consisting of a request to access memory of a tracing program and a request to access memory of a debugging program."
  - In contrast, Edwards only teaches a method for generating platform specific instructions. See Edwards, abstract. Further, the portion cited by the Examiner in the Office Action states that multiple iterations of platform specific instructions may be tracked and generated. See Edwards, column 8, lines 36-50. However, Edwards fails to teach generating translated data, where the translated data is configured to satisfy an *implementation request*.

414678\_1

PTOL-413A (09-08)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**Proposed amendments:****I. (Currently Amended) A method of translating data, comprising:**

obtaining a value of an implementation data structure from an instrumented program,  
wherein the implementation data structure is internal to the instrumented program;  
accessing a translator associated with the instrumented program, wherein the translator  
comprises a plurality of transformations; and

translating the value of the implementation data structure using the translator to obtain  
translated data, wherein the translating comprises applying at least one of the  
plurality of transformations to convert a representation of the implementation data  
structure into an interface data structure, wherein the interface data structure  
corresponds to an interface offered by the instrumented program;

wherein the translated data is configured to satisfy an instrumentation request from a  
user, wherein the instrumentation request is one of a group consisting of a request  
to access memory of a tracing program and a request to access memory of a  
debugging program.

\*Support for these amendments may be found, for example, at paragraph [0022] of the  
specification.

414678\_1